

**W 2015 CFL Reflection Marking Guidelines**  
**(Evidence& Critical Reflection 10%)**

<b>Assessment</b>	<b>Criteria</b>
<b>Excellent Reflection</b> (9-10 marks)	Reflection clearly/effectively/fully answers all of the required elements listed in Step 4 (1, 2.1, 2.2,3) of instructions in an organized manner and with <b>examples/evidence</b> . Follows all formatting & submission guidelines. Appropriate evidence of contribution provided.
<b>Good Reflection</b> (7-8 marks)	Reflection adequately answers all/most of the required elements in Step 4 (1-3) of instructions with <b>examples/evidence</b> . Follows most formatting & submission guidelines. Appropriate evidence of contribution provided.
<b>Acceptable Reflection</b> (5-6 marks)	Reflection answers some of the required elements in Step 4 (1-3) of instructions adequately and with some <b>examples/evidence</b> . Follows some formatting & submission guidelines. Minimal appropriate evidence of contribution provided.
<b>Needs Improvement</b> (0-4)	Reflection answers few of the required elements in Step 4 (1-3) of instructions adequately and without adequate <b>examples/evidence</b> . Follows few formatting & submission guidelines. No appropriate evidence of contribution provided.
<b>NOTE:</b>	Weight will be given to questions 2.1 and 2.2. 2.1 Provide specific <i>examples/evidence</i> of connections you can make between your CFL organization and/or contribution and the course readings/themes/theories etc. 2.2 Provide specific <i>examples/evidence</i> of how this project increased/developed one or more of the learning outcomes for the course.